

---

## Medical Policy



Nonprofit corporations and independent licensees  
of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

**Joint Medical Policies are a source for BCBSM and BCN medical policy information only. These documents are not to be used to determine benefits or reimbursement. Please reference the appropriate certificate or contract for benefit information. This policy may be updated and is therefore subject to change.**

---

**\*Current Policy Effective Date: 9/1/24**  
(See policy history boxes for previous effective dates)

### **Title: Evaluation of Biomarkers for Alzheimer's Disease**

---

#### **Description/Background**

##### **Alzheimer Disease**

Alzheimer Disease (AD) is a fatal neurodegenerative disease that causes progressive loss in memory, language, and thinking, with the eventual loss of ability to perform social and functional activities in daily life. Survival after a diagnosis of dementia due to AD generally ranges between 4 and 8 years; however, life expectancy can be influenced by other factors, such as comorbid medical conditions. It is estimated that 6.2 million Americans aged 65 and older are currently living with AD dementia, and the number is projected to reach over 12 million by 2050.<sup>1</sup> Per the 2018 American Academy of Neurology practice guideline update on mild cognitive impairment (MCI), the prevalence of MCI was 6.7% for ages 60 to 64, 8.4% for ages 65 to 69, 10.1% for ages 70 to 74, 14.8% for ages 75 to 79, and 25.2% for ages 80 to 84.<sup>2</sup> The cumulative dementia incidence was 14.9% in individuals with MCI >65 years of age followed for 2 years.

Data from the National Institute on Aging have shown that Black Americans are approximately 1.5 to 2 times more likely to develop AD and related dementias as compared to White Americans.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, Black participants in AD research studies were 35% less likely to be diagnosed with AD and related dementias and were found to have more risk factors for the disease as well as greater cognitive impairment and symptom severity than White participants. Findings from 2 national surveys conducted by the Alzheimer's Association also found that people of color face discrimination when seeking health care for AD and related dementias with the highest level of discrimination in dementia health care reported by Black Americans (50%) followed by Native (42%), Asian (34%), and Hispanic (33%) Americans.<sup>4</sup> Non-Hispanic White Americans reported a discrimination rate of 9%.

##### **Pathophysiology**

The pathologic hallmarks of AD are extracellular deposits of amyloid beta, referred to as amyloid plaques, and intracellular aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau in the form of

neurofibrillary tangles. There are different forms of amyloid such as plaques, oligomers, and monomers, and the roles of these different forms and their contributions to the pathophysiology of AD is not well understood. Generally referred to as the “amyloid hypothesis,” it is believed that aggregation of amyloid beta oligomers in the brain leads to amyloid plaques. Amyloid aggregation in addition to accumulation of tau pathology and neurodegeneration are thought to be the main drivers of the disease process. These changes in the brain result in widespread neurodegeneration and cell death, and ultimately cause the clinical signs and symptoms of dementia.<sup>5,6</sup>

The pathophysiological changes and clinical manifestations of AD are progressive and occur along a continuum, and accumulation of amyloid beta may begin 20 years or more before symptoms arise.<sup>5</sup> The National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) has created a “numeric clinical staging scheme” (Table 1) that avoids traditional syndromal labels and is applicable for only those in the Alzheimer continuum. This staging scheme is primarily used in the research setting and reflects the sequential evolution of AD from an initial stage characterized by the appearance of abnormal AD biomarkers in asymptomatic individuals. As biomarker abnormalities progress, the earliest subtle symptoms become detectable. Further progression of biomarker abnormalities is accompanied by progressive worsening of cognitive symptoms, culminating in dementia.

**Table 1. National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association Numerical Clinical Staging for Individuals in the Alzheimer Continuum<sup>a</sup>**

Stage	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6
Severity	Pre-clinical	Pre-clinical	MCI due to Alzheimer disease	Mild Dementia	Moderate Dementia	Severe Dementia
Clinical Features	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance within expected range on objective cognitive tests.</li> <li>No evidence of recent cognitive decline or new neurobehavioral symptoms.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Normal performance within expected range on objective cognitive tests.</li> <li>Transitional cognitive decline (change from individual baseline within past 1 to 3 years, and persistent for at least 6 months).</li> <li>Mild neurobehavioral changes may coexist or may be the primary complaint rather than cognitive.</li> <li>No functional impact on daily life activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance in the impaired/abnormal range on objective cognitive tests.</li> <li>Evidence of decline from baseline.</li> <li>Performs daily life activities independently, but cognitive difficulty may result in detectable but mild functional impact on the more complex activities of daily life.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Substantial progressive cognitive impairment affecting several domains, and/or neurobehavioral disturbance.</li> <li>Clearly evident functional impact on daily life, affecting mainly instrumental activities.</li> <li>No longer fully independent/requires occasional assistance with daily life activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Progressive cognitive impairment or neurobehavioral changes.</li> <li>Extensive functional impact on daily life with impairment in basic activities.</li> <li>No longer independent and requires frequent assistance with daily life activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Progressive cognitive impairment or neurobehavioral changes.</li> <li>Clinical interview may not be possible.</li> <li>Complete dependency due to severe functional impact on daily life with impairment in basic activities, including basic self-care.</li> </ul>

Adapted from Table 6, Jack et al (2018)<sup>8</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Applicable only to individuals in the Alzheimer continuum that fall into 1 of the 4 biomarker groups: 1) A+T+N+ 2) A+T-N- 3) A+T+N- 4) A+T-N+ where A: Aggregated A $\beta$  or associated pathologic state (CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , or A $\beta_{42}$ /A $\beta_{40}$  ratio or Amyloid PET), T: Aggregated tau (neurofibrillary tangles) or associated pathologic state (CSF phosphorylated tau or Tau PET) and N: Neurodegeneration or neuronal injury (anatomic MRI, FDG PET or CSF total tau)

For stages 1 to 6: Cognitive test performance may be compared to normative data of the investigator’s choice, with or without adjustment (choice of the investigators) for age, sex, education, etc.

For stages 2 to 6: Although cognition is the core feature, neurobehavioral changes—for example, changes in mood, anxiety, or motivation—may coexist.

For stages 3 to 6: Cognitive impairment may be characterized by presentations that are not primarily amnesic.

CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; FDG: fluorodeoxyglucose; MCI: mild cognitive impairment; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PET: positron emission tomography.

## Biomarkers

Several potential biomarkers of AD are associated with AD pathophysiology (e.g.,  $\beta$ -amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles). Elevated cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of specific proteins have been found in patients with AD. These include tau protein, phosphorylated at AD-specific epitopes such as threonine 181 (P-tau) or total tau protein (T-tau), or an amyloid-beta peptide such as A $\beta$ 42.<sup>9</sup> Other potential CSF<sup>10,11</sup> and serum<sup>12</sup> peptide markers also have been explored. Tau protein is a microtubule-associated molecule that is found in the neurofibrillary tangles that are typical of AD. Tau protein is thought to be related to degenerating and dying neurons, and high levels of tau proteins in the CSF have been associated with AD. A $\beta$ 42 is a subtype of amyloid beta peptide that is produced following the metabolism of amyloid precursor protein. A $\beta$ 42 is the key peptide deposited in the amyloid plaques characteristic of AD. Low levels of A $\beta$ 42 in the CSF have been associated with AD, perhaps because A $\beta$ 42 is deposited in amyloid plaques instead of remaining in fluid. Investigators have suggested a Tau/A $\beta$ 42 ratio, a potentially more accurate diagnostic marker than either alone.<sup>13</sup> Neurogranin is a dendritic protein and CSF measurement may serve as a biomarker for dendritic instability and synaptic degeneration.<sup>7</sup> Elevated CSF neurogranin may predict prodromal AD in MCI and has been confirmed in AD dementia and prodromal AD in several studies.

A variety of kits are commercially available to measure A $\beta$ 42 and tau proteins. Between laboratory variability in CSF biomarker measurement is large.<sup>14,15</sup> Neural thread protein is associated with the neurofibrillary tangles of AD. Both CSF and urine levels of this protein have been investigated as a potential marker of AD. Urine and CSF tests for neural thread protein may be referred to as the AD7C test.

More recently, research has focused on blood as a new matrix for AD biomarkers that have already been validated in the CSF. As blood is more accessible than CSF, blood sampling would be preferable to CSF when taking samples to measure AD biomarkers, both for clinical diagnosis or screening.<sup>9</sup> However, developing blood AD biomarkers has proven complex. While the CSF is continuous with the brain extracellular fluid, with a free exchange of molecules from the brain to the CSF, only a fraction of brain proteins enter the bloodstream. Examples of blood biomarkers that are currently under examination for use in AD include amyloid beta, tau protein, and neurofilament light.<sup>16</sup> Results from initial studies show that these blood biomarkers may potentially assist in early and more precise diagnosis, prognosis, or monitoring of disease progression and treatment in AD. In 2019, the Geneva AD Biomarker Roadmap Initiative expert panel concluded that of the currently assessed blood biomarkers plasma pTau has shown analytical validity and initial evidence of clinical validity, whereas the maturity level for amyloid beta remains to be partially achieved.<sup>17</sup>

---

## Regulatory Status

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) must be certified by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the FDA has chosen not to require any regulatory review of these tests.

**Table 2. FDA Cleared Biomarker Tests for Alzheimer Disease**

Test	Manufacturer	Location	Date Cleared	De Novo or 510(k) Number	Indication(s)
Lumipulse G Amyloid Ratio (1-42/1-40)	Fujirebio Diagnostics, Inc	Malvern, Pennsylvania	May 2022	DEN200072	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CSF test</li> <li>• Intended to be used in adult patients, aged 55 years and older, presenting with cognitive impairment who are being evaluated for AD and other causes of cognitive decline.</li> <li>• A test result <math>\geq 0.073</math> is a negative result which is consistent with a negative amyloid PET scan result. A negative result reduces the likelihood that a patient's cognitive impairment is due to AD.</li> <li>• A test result <math>\leq 0.058</math> is a positive result which is consistent with a positive amyloid PET scan result. A positive result does not establish a diagnosis of AD or other cognitive disorder.</li> <li>• A test result between 0.059 and 0.072 is considered as a likely positive result as it is more likely consistent with a positive amyloid PET scan result. A likely positive result does not establish a diagnosis of AD or other cognitive disorders and has increased uncertainty in regard to amyloid PET positivity.</li> <li>• The Lumipulse G P-Amyloid Ratio ( 1-42/ 1-40) results must be interpreted in conjunction with other patient clinical information. This test is</li> </ul>

					not intended as a screening or stand-alone diagnostic test.
Elecsys B-Amyloid (1-42) CSF II, Elecsys Phospho-Tau (181P) CSF	Roche Diagnostics	Indianapolis, IN	December 2022	K221842	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CSF test</li> <li>• Intended to be used in adult patients aged 55 years and older being evaluated for AD and other causes of cognitive impairment to generate a pTau181/Abeta42 ratio value.</li> <li>• The adjusted ratio cutoff is 0.023.</li> <li>• A negative result, defined as pTau181/Abeta42 ratio value below cutoff or an Abeta42 value above the measuring range, is consistent with a negative amyloid PET scan result. A negative result reduces the likelihood that a patient's cognitive impairment is due to AD.</li> <li>• A positive result, defined as pTau181/Abeta42 ratio value above cutoff, is consistent with a positive amyloid PET scan result. A positive result does not establish a diagnosis of AD or other cognitive disorder.</li> <li>• The pTau181/Abeta42 ratio result is used as an adjunct to other clinical diagnostic evaluations.</li> <li>• The performance of the pTau181/Abeta42 ratio has not been established for predicting development of dementia or other neurologic conditions or monitoring responses to therapies</li> </ul>
Elecsys $\beta$ -Amyloid (1-42) CSF II, Elecsys Total-Tau CSF	Roche Diagnostics	Indianapolis, IN	June 2023	K231348	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CSF test</li> <li>• Intended to be used in adult patients aged 55 years and older being evaluated for AD and</li> </ul>

					<p>other causes of cognitive impairment to generate a tTau/Abeta42 ratio value.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The numerical ratio must be compared to the cutoff of 0.28. A negative result, defined as tTau/Abeta42 ratio value below cutoff or an Abeta42 value above the measuring range, is consistent with a negative amyloid PET scan result. A negative result reduces the likelihood that a patient's cognitive impairment is due to AD.</li> <li>• A positive result, defined as tTau/Abeta42 ratio value above cutoff, is consistent with a positive amyloid PET scan result. A positive result does not establish a diagnosis of AD or other cognitive disorder.</li> <li>• The tTau/Abeta42 ratio result is used as an adjunct to other clinical diagnostic evaluations.</li> <li>• The performance of the tTau/Abeta42 ratio has not been established for predicting development of dementia or other neurologic conditions or monitoring responses to therapies.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	--	--

AD: Alzheimer disease; CSF: Cerebral Spinal Fluid; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; PET: positron emission tomography

---

## Medical Policy Statement

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing, including but not limited to amyloid beta peptides, tau protein, or neural thread proteins, as an adjunct to clinical diagnosis in individuals with mild cognitive impairment is considered experimental/investigational.

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing, including but not limited to amyloid beta peptides, tau protein, or neural thread proteins, as an adjunct to clinical diagnosis in individuals with mild dementia due to Alzheimer disease is considered experimental/investigational.

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing, including but not limited to amyloid beta peptides, tau protein, or neural thread proteins, as part of an evaluation for the initiation of amyloid beta targeting therapy in individuals with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia due to Alzheimer disease is considered experimental/investigational.

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing, including but not limited to amyloid beta peptides, tau protein, or neural thread proteins, as part of an evaluation for the continuation of amyloid beta targeting therapy in individuals with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia due to Alzheimer disease is considered experimental/investigational.

Measurement of urinary and blood biomarkers as an adjunct to clinical diagnosis in individuals with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia due to Alzheimer's disease is considered experimental/ investigational. There is insufficient evidence in medical literature to determine the effect of this testing on patient clinical outcomes.

\*Please refer to the P & T policy for any inquiries for Lacanemab.

---

## **Inclusionary and Exclusionary Guidelines**

N/A

---

**CPT/HCPCS Level II Codes** *(Note: The inclusion of a code in this list is not a guarantee of coverage. Please refer to the medical policy statement to determine the status of a given procedure)*

### **Established codes:**

N/A

### **Other codes (investigational, not medically necessary, etc.):**

81099	83520	84999	86849	0443U
0445U	0459U			

---

## **Rationale**

The clinical purposes of testing for Alzheimer's disease (AD)-related biomarkers are to improve diagnostic accuracy or to predict conversion from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD.

Evidence reviews assess whether a medical test is clinically useful. A useful test provides information to make a clinical management decision that improves the net health outcome.

That is, the balance of benefits and harms is better when the test is used to manage the condition than when another test or no test is used to manage the condition.

The first step in assessing a medical test is to formulate the clinical context and purpose of the test. The test must be technically reliable, clinically valid, and clinically useful for that purpose. Evidence reviews assess the evidence on whether a test is clinically valid and clinically useful. Technical reliability is outside the scope of these reviews, and credible information on technical reliability is available from other sources.

## **CEREBROSPINAL FLUID, Urinary, or Blood BIOMARKER TESTING for ALZHEIMER DISEASE**

### **Clinical Context and Test Purpose**

The purpose of CSF, urinary or blood biomarker testing for AD is to provide an alternative or superior method for diagnosis to inform appropriate treatment in patients with AD or mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does CSF, urinary or blood biomarkers and urinary biomarker testing improve the net health outcome in individuals with AD or MCI?

The following **PICOs** were used to select literature to inform this review.

### **Populations**

The relevant population of interest are individuals with MCI or dementia who are being evaluated for diagnosis of Alzheimer disease.

### **Interventions**

The therapy being considered is cerebrospinal fluid, urinary or blood biomarker testing for AD, which is managed by neurologists and primary care providers in an outpatient clinical setting.

### **Comparators**

Comparators of interest include clinical diagnosis of AD.

A definitive diagnosis of AD requires histopathologic examination. Both the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) and NIA have proposed criteria for diagnosis of probable AD. [18,19,20.](#)

NIA-AA criteria for criteria for the diagnosis of probable AD requires the presence of dementia and the following [19,20.](#):

- Interference with ability to function at work or usual activities;
- Decline from previous level of functioning and performing;
- Not explained by delirium or major psychiatric disorder;
- Cognitive impairment established by history from the patient and informant and objective mental status examination or neuropsychologic testing;
- Cognitive impairment involving at least two of the following:
  - Impaired ability to acquire and remember new information;
  - Impaired reasoning and handling of complex tasks, poor judgment;
  - Impaired visuospatial abilities;
  - Impaired language functions;
  - Changes in personality, behavior, or comporment.
- Insidious onset;



- History of worsening;
- Most prominent cognitive deficits are: amnesic, nonamnesic with a language presentation; visuospatial; or a dysexecutive;
- No evidence of another concurrent, active neurologic or non-neurologic disease or use of medication that could have a substantial effect on cognition.

The most common disorders considered in the differential diagnosis of AD are vascular dementia and other neurodegenerative dementias such as dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and frontotemporal dementia (FTD).

### **Outcomes**

The general outcomes of interest are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and resource utilization.

Follow-up at 2- years is of interest for CSF, urinary or blood biomarker testing for AD for symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, QOL, medication use, and resource utilization.

### **Clinically Valid**

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

### **Study Selection Criteria**

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- The study population represents the population of interest. Eligibility and selection are described.
- The test is compared with a credible reference standard.
- If the test is intended to replace or be an adjunct to an existing test; it should also be compared with that test.
- Studies should report sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values. Studies that completely report true- and false-positive results are ideal. Studies reporting other measures (e.g., ROC [receiver operating characteristics], AUROC [area under receiver operating characteristic], c-statistic, likelihood ratios) may be included but are less informative.
- Studies should also report reclassification of diagnostic or risk category.

## **Review of Evidence**

### **Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarker Testing**

#### **Diagnosis of Alzheimer Disease**

#### **Systematic Reviews**

Most studies have relied on clinically diagnosed AD as the criterion standard. Results from the majority of systematic reviews are summarized in Table 3. Individual studies included in systematic reviews are not individually reviewed.

**Table 3. Systematic Reviews Assessing CSF Biomarkers Performance for Distinguishing Alzheimer Disease from Controls with Clinical Diagnosis Reference Standard**

Biomarker Studies	Controls Without Dementia, %		Controls with Dementia, % <sup>a</sup>	
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity	Specificity
<b>Aβ42</b>				
Rosa (2013)	84% (81 to 85)	79% (77 to 81)	NR	NR
Bloudek (2011)	80% (73 to 85)	82% (74 to 88)	73% (67 to 78)	67% (62 to 72)
Formichi (2006)	NR	NR	55%-100%	80%-100%
<b>tTau</b>				
Bloudek (2011)	82% (76 to 87)	90% (86 to 93)	78% (72 to 83)	75% (68 to 81)
Formichi (2006)	NR	NR	52%-100%	50%-100%
<b>pTau</b>				
Ferreira (2014)	78%-80%	83%-88%	72%-88%	78%-83%
Bloudek et al (2011)	80 (70 to 87)	83 (75 to 88)	79 (72 to 84)	80 (71 to 86)
Formichi et al (2006)	NR	NR	37 to 100	80 to 100

Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals unless otherwise noted.

Aβ42: amyloid-β peptide 1-42; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; SR: systematic review; IS: individual studies; NR: not reported; pTau: Randomized tau protein; tTau: total tau protein.

<sup>a</sup>Or unspecified

Fink et al (2020) conducted a systematic review of biomarker accuracy for diagnosing neuropathologically defined AD in older patients with dementia.<sup>25</sup> The analysis included literature published between January 2012 and November 2019, with 9 cohort studies focusing on CSF biomarkers. Overall, CSF biomarkers and ratios had moderate sensitivity (range, 62% to 83%) and specificity (range, 53% to 69%). Biomarker accuracy was higher with Aβ42/pTau ratio, tTau/Aβ42 ratio, and pTau compared with tTau alone.

Cure et al (2014) conducted a systematic review with meta-analysis of CSF and imaging studies for the diagnosis of definite AD (autopsy-confirmed).<sup>26</sup> Literature was searched in January 2012, and 3 studies of CSF markers (pTau, tTau, Aβ42, Aβ40) were identified (total n=337 patients). Pooled sensitivity of all CSF tests was 82% (95% CI, 72% to 92%), and pooled specificity was 75% (95% CI, 60% to 90%). Statistical heterogeneity was not reported, but studies varied by AD definitions, controls (nondemented patients or patients with dementia due to other causes), and test thresholds. The summary area under receiver operating characteristic curve, constructed using multiple test thresholds, was 0.84.

### **Subsection Summary: Clinical Validity of CSF Biomarker Testing for Diagnosis of Alzheimer Disease**

Several studies have examined the diagnostic performance of CSF biomarkers for distinguishing patients with probable AD from patients without dementia and from patients with other types of dementia. The range of reported sensitivities and specificities is broad compared with a clinical diagnosis reference standard; in systematic reviews with meta-analyses, sensitivity and specificity rates ranged from 80% to 82% and 82% to 90%, respectively, for differentiating AD from healthy controls, and were 73% and 67%, respectively, for differentiating AD from other dementias. Positive and negative likelihood ratios were 2 to 8 and 0.2 to 0.4, respectively, in either setting. Some evidence points that ratios (Aβ42/pTau or tTau/Aβ42) or pTau may have higher accuracy than tTau alone. There is limited evidence

examining the incremental diagnostic accuracy of CSF biomarkers for AD diagnosis employing autopsy as a criterion standard. Cutoffs for a positive diagnosis are not standardized.

### Prognosis for Progression of Mild Cognitive Impairment

There are a variety of systematic reviews that have evaluated the prognostic value of CSF biomarkers for the progression of MCI and conversion to clinically manifest AD. These studies primarily include clinical diagnosis as a reference standard and varying cutoffs for predicting conversion. Tables 4 and 5 present the characteristics and results of key meta-analyses..

### Systematic Reviews

**Table 4. Characteristics of Key Meta-Analysis That Evaluate the Prognostic Value of CSF Biomarkers for the Progression of MCI and Conversion to Clinically Manifest AD.**

Study	Dates	Studies	Participants	N (Range)	Design	Duration
Olsson (2016)	1995-2014	231	Patients with AD or MCI due to AD.	AD=15,699 Controls=13,018 Total=27,717 (Range=20-1087)	Not specified	Not specified
Ritchie (2017)	2006-2013	15	Patients with MCI at baseline.	N=1282	Longitudinal cohort	2 mo-11.8 y
Ritchie (2014)	2003-2013	17	Participants with cognitive decline but no dementia condition at baseline.	Total=2228 (Range=37-588)	Longitudinal cohort	2 mo-12 y

AD: Alzheimer's disease; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; MCI: mild cognitive impairment; mo: month(s); y: year(s).

**Table 5. Results of Key Meta-Analyses**

Study	A $\beta$ 42	tTau	pTau
Olsson (2016)			
Average ratio (95% CI)	0.56 (0.55 to 0.58)	2.54 (2.44 to 2.64)	1.88 (1.79 to 1.97)
p value	<.001	<.001	<.001
Ritchie (2017)			
Sensitivity range, %	-	51-90	40-100
Specificity range, %	-	48-88	22-86
Median specificity, %	-	72	47.5
Sensitivity at median specificity, % (95% CI)	-	75 (67 to 85)	81 (64 to 91)
Ritchie (2014)			
Sensitivity range, %	36-100	-	-
Specificity range, %	29-91	-	-
Median specificity, %	64	-	-
Sensitivity at median specificity, % (95% CI)	81 (72 to 87)	-	-

Average ratio: Alzheimer's disease to control ratio for cerebral spinal fluid biomarker concentration. A $\beta$ 42: amyloid- $\beta$  peptide 1-42; CI: confidence interval; NR: not reported; pTau: phosphorylated tau protein; tTau: total tau protein.

### **Subsection Summary: Clinical Validity of Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarker Testing for Prognosis for Progression of Mild Cognitive Impairment**

The evidence suggests that biomarker testing may identify an increased risk of conversion from MCI to AD. Studies primarily include clinical diagnosis as a reference standard and varying cutoffs for predicting conversion.

#### **Clinically Useful**

Possible clinical uses of CSF biomarker testing could include confirming the diagnosis of AD to begin medications at an earlier stage or ruling out AD, which could lead to further diagnostic testing to determine the etiology of dementia and/or avoidance of unnecessary anti-Alzheimer medications.

Testing for treatment of MCI and early AD using anti-amyloid therapies is discussed in another section. Outside of that indication, no trials were identified that have reported health outcomes after CSF biomarker testing; thus, there is no direct evidence for clinical utility. Decision models can provide indirect evidence of utility if the likelihood of benefits and consequences are estimable. To evaluate the benefits and consequences of CSF biomarker interventions, models would need to describe disease progression, resources used, and QOL. Such estimates are scarce and highly variable.

Although not without controversy because of modest efficacy, cholinesterase inhibitors are used to treat symptoms of mild-to-moderate AD.<sup>30,31</sup> Memantine, an *N*-methyl-d-aspartate receptor antagonist, appears to provide a small benefit in treating symptoms in those with the moderate-to-advanced disease.<sup>30,32</sup> Neither cholinesterase inhibitors nor memantine is disease-modifying. Clinical trial entry criteria and benefits for cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine have been based on clinical diagnosis. There is less evidence to support the use of cholinesterase inhibitors in other dementias, but they are still frequently used to treat cognitive symptoms. While the possibility that a more accurate differential diagnosis may lead to improved outcomes is plausible, it is not based on current evidence. Pharmacologic interventions. Use of cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine for MCI have not demonstrated benefit in reducing progression to AD.<sup>33,34,35,36</sup> The chain of evidence of clinical utility is incomplete.

### **Section Summary: Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarker Testing**

Most clinical validity studies of both diagnosis of AD and prognosis for progression of MCI to AD use select patient samples and define optimal test cutoffs without validation. There is no evidence that improved diagnosis or prognosis leads to improved health outcomes or QOL outside of the use to select patients for anti-amyloid therapy discussed in a separate section..

### **Urinary Biomarker Testing**

#### **Clinically Valid**

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

Zhang et al (2014) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of urinary AD-associated neural thread protein for diagnosing AD in patients with suspected AD.<sup>37</sup> Nine studies were included (total N=841 patients with probable or possible AD, 37 patients with MCI, 992 non-AD demented or nondemented controls). The reference standard was clinical diagnosis in 8 studies and not described in 1 study. Varying cutoffs for positive diagnosis were used across included studies. Controls were both health volunteers and patients with other dementias. For probable AD, pooled sensitivity and specificity were 89% (95% CI, 86% to 92%) and 90% (95% CI, 88% to 92%), respectively. Pooled positive and negative likelihood ratios were 8.9 (95% CI, 7.1 to 11.1) and 0.12 (95% CI, 0.09 to 0.16), respectively.

### **Clinically Useful**

There is no direct evidence to support the clinical utility of urinary markers for diagnosing AD and the chain of evidence is incomplete.

### **Section Summary: Urinary Marker Testing**

A systematic review and meta-analysis that evaluated urinary AD-associated NTP with regard to diagnosing AD in patients with suspected AD concluded that, for probable AD, pooled sensitivity and specificity were 89% (95% CI, 86% to 92%) and 90% (95% CI, 88% to 92%), respectively. Pooled positive and negative likelihood ratios were 8.9 (95% CI, 7.1 to 11.1) and 0.12 (95% CI, 0.09 to 0.16), respectively.

### **Blood Biomarker Testing**

#### **Clinically Valid**

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

### **Screening and Diagnosis of Alzheimer Disease**

#### **Systematic Reviews**

Olsson et al (2016) conducted a systematic review of the 15 most promising biomarkers in both CSF and blood to evaluate which may be useful to distinguish patients with AD from controls and patients with MCI due to AD from those with stable MCI.<sup>27</sup> In total, 231 articles comprising 15,699 patients with AD and 13,018 controls were included in the analysis. Among blood biomarkers, plasma T-tau was the only biomarker found to discriminate patients with AD from controls (p=.02). No differences in plasma concentrations of amyloid beta-42 and amyloid beta-40 biomarkers in individuals with AD as compared to controls were seen in this systematic review; however, these results were reported before the development of more highly sensitive assays and technologies.<sup>16</sup>

#### **Cohort Studies**

Thijssen et al (2020) evaluated whether plasma phosphorylated tau at residue 181 (pTau181) could differentiate between clinically diagnosed or autopsy-confirmed AD and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (N=362).<sup>38</sup> Results revealed that plasma pTau181 concentrations were increased by 3.5-fold in patients with AD compared to controls and differentiated AD from both clinically diagnosed and autopsy-confirmed frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Plasma pTau181 also identified individuals who were amyloid beta-PET-positive regardless of clinical diagnosis and was reported to be a potentially useful screening test for AD.

Janelidze et al (2020) evaluated the diagnostic and prognostic usefulness of plasma pTau181 in 3 cohorts totaling 589 individuals (patients with MCI, AD dementia, non-AD neurodegenerative diseases, and cognitively unimpaired individuals).<sup>39</sup> Results revealed plasma pTau181 to be increased in patients with preclinical AD and further elevated in the MCI and dementia disease stages. Plasma pTau181 also differentiated AD dementia from non-AD neurodegenerative diseases with an accuracy similar to PET Tau and CSF pTau181 and detected AD neuropathology in an autopsy-confirmed cohort.

Palmqvist et al (2020) examined the feasibility of plasma phosphorylated tau at residue 217 (pTau217) as a diagnostic biomarker for AD among 1402 participants from 3 selected cohorts.<sup>40</sup> Results revealed that plasma pTau217 discriminated AD from other neurodegenerative diseases, with significantly higher accuracy than established plasma- and MRI-based biomarkers, and its performance was not significantly different from key CSF- or PET-based measures.

### **Clinically Useful**

There is currently no direct evidence to support the clinical utility of blood markers for diagnosing AD and the chain of evidence is incomplete.

### **Section Summary: Blood Biomarker Testing**

Results from a systematic review and various cohort studies have shown that plasma pTau may be beneficial for the early screening and differential diagnosis of AD; however, currently, there is no evidence that improved diagnosis with blood biomarker testing leads to improved health outcomes or QOL.

## **Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers and Targeted Therapy for Mild Cognitive Impairment or Mild Dementia due to Alzheimer Disease**

### **Clinical Context and Test Purpose**

The purpose of CSF biomarkers or PET amyloid scans for individuals with MCI or mild dementia due to AD is to select appropriate patients for initiation or discontinuation of treatment with an amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy (e.g., aducanumab and lecanemab).

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does testing with CSF biomarkers as an adjunct, or alternative to, amyloid beta PET imaging in individuals with MCI or mild dementia due to AD who are being evaluated for initiation or continuation with an amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy improve the net health outcome?

The following **PICO** was used to select literature to inform this review.

### **Populations**

The relevant population of interest is individuals with a clinical diagnosis of MCI or mild dementia due to AD, who are being evaluated for an FDA approved amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy or are being evaluated for continuing or discontinuing such therapy.

The National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association has provided guidance on the clinical diagnosis of MCI and dementia due to AD (Table 1).<sup>41,42,20</sup> This includes utilizing a battery of cognitive tests versus a single test to identify individuals with MCI due to AD (stage 3) or mild

dementia due to AD (stage 4). The tests should evaluate multiple domains such as cognition and function and specific tests may vary.

In the pivotal trials for the amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy aducanumab, enrolled patients had an early stage of AD; MCI due to AD; or mild AD dementia based on an entry criteria of baseline Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of 24 to 30, baseline Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) global score of 0.5 and Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neurological Status (RBANS) delayed memory index score  $\leq 85$ . Patients were also clinically staged based on the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's criteria.<sup>43,44</sup>

In the pivotal trial for lecenemab approval, participants met criteria for either MCI due to AD or mild AD dementia by National Institute of Aging-Alzheimer's criteria and were required to have evidence of brain A $\beta$  pathology by either visual read of a PET scan or CSF assessment of t-tau/A $\beta$ 1-42. Participants had a baseline MMSE score of 22 to 30, CDR global score of 0.5 or 1.0 with a Memory Box score of 0.5 or greater, and objective impairment in episodic memory as indicated by at least 1 standard deviation below age-adjusted mean in the Wechsler Memory Scale IV-Logical Memory (subscale) II (WMS-IV LMII).<sup>45</sup>

### **Interventions**

The test being considered is CSF biomarkers, from CSF samples collected via lumbar puncture, such as amyloid beta-42/40 ratio or the ratio of total tau (t-tau) to amyloid beta-42.

The amyloid beta-42/40 ratio test quantifies the amount of amyloid beta-42 and 40 proteins in a CSF sample and computes the ratio of those proteins. Lower ratios indicate a higher likelihood of a patient having a clinical diagnosis of AD. The t-tau/amyloid beta-42 ratio quantifies the ratio of total tau to amyloid beta-42. Higher values indicate a higher likelihood of AD. See Table 2 for cutoff values for FDA cleared tests.

### **Comparators**

Comparators of interest include the amyloid beta PET scan. Amyloid beta PET imaging is a neuroimaging technique with standardized tracer-specific visual reading procedures and documented high reproducibility across PET centers.<sup>48</sup> It allows non-invasive, in-vivo detection of amyloid plaques with very high sensitivity (96%; 95% CI, 80 to 100) and specificity (100%, 95% CI, 78 to 100) as determined by correlation in patients with confirmed AD who had an autopsy within 1 year of PET imaging. Trials of amyloid beta targeting therapy have traditionally used clinical criteria along with amyloid beta PET imaging to select appropriate patients for participation.

### **Outcomes**

The general outcomes of interest are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, health status measures, and QOL. Specific measures of cognitive and functional health outcomes that may be relevant to early AD include the Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes (CDR-SOB), MMSE, Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale - Cognitive 13-Item Scale (ADAS-Cog 13), Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study - Activities of Daily Living - Mild Cognitive Impairment (ADCS-ADL-MCI), and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory-10 (NPI-10).

Follow-up is at months to years for CSF biomarkers or PET amyloid scans for the outcomes of interest.



## Review of Evidence

Overall, both PET imaging and CSF biomarkers provide overlapping, and in part complementary, diagnostic information with agreement between CSF and PET amyloid results usually good.<sup>48</sup> There are various studies that evaluate concordance between CSF biomarkers and PET imaging.

The diagnostic accuracy of CSF biomarkers and amyloid beta PET for diagnosing early-stage AD were compared using data from the prospective, longitudinal Swedish BioFINDER study that consecutively enrolled patients without dementia with mild cognitive symptoms.<sup>49</sup> This was the first study to compare the accuracy of regional amyloid beta PET (using the [18F]-flutemetamol) and different CSF assays or ratios of CSF biomarkers, including amyloid beta-42/40, for this diagnostic purpose. The study included 34 patients with MCI who developed AD dementia within 3 years and 122 healthy elderly controls. Overall, the best CSF measures for the identification of MCI-AD were amyloid-beta 42/total tau (t-tau) and amyloid beta-42/hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau), with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.93 to 0.94. The best PET measures (i.e., anterior cingulate, posterior cingulate/precuneus, and global neocortical uptake) performed similarly (AUC 0.92 to 0.93). The AUC for CSF amyloid beta-42/40 was numerically poorer as compared to the majority of PET variables; however, the differences were non-significant ( $p=.09$  to  $.40$ ). The combination of CSF and PET was not better than using either biomarker separately. The results were replicated in 146 controls and 64 patients with MCI-AD from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) study that utilized another CSF assay (amyloid beta-42, t-tau and p-tau) and PET (18F-florbetapir) tracer. In the ADNI cohort, amyloid-beta 42/t-tau and amyloid beta-42/p-tau ratios similarly had higher AUCs than amyloid beta-42 alone.

Lewczuk et al (2017) evaluated whether amyloid beta-42 alone or the amyloid beta-42/40 ratio corresponded better with amyloid beta PET status.<sup>50</sup> The investigators collected CSF from a mixed cohort (N=200) of cognitively normal and abnormal subjects who had undergone amyloid beta PET within 12 months. Of these, 150 were PET-negative and 50 were PET-positive according to a previously published cutoff. The collected CSF was assayed for amyloid beta-42 alone and the amyloid beta-42/40 ratio. Results revealed that the amyloid beta-42/40 ratio corresponded better than amyloid beta-42 alone with PET results, with a higher proportion of concordant cases (89.4% vs. 74.9%;  $p<.0001$ ) and a larger AUC (0.936 vs. 0.814;  $p<.0001$ ) associated with the ratio.

Nisenbaum et al (2022) compared CSF biomarkers to amyloid PET in the EMERGE and ENGAGE phase 3 RCTs of anti-amyloid therapy, aducanumab.<sup>51</sup> EMERGE and ENGAGE participants had MCI due to AD or mild AD with pathology confirmed amyloid-beta pathology by amyloid PET scan. A population of 350 participants who were screened for the RCTs (EMERGE, n=208; ENGAGE, n=142) were included in a CSF substudy. Amyloid PET imaging was performed using any of the FDA-approved amyloid PET tracers. Expert central readers classified the amyloid PET scans as positive or negative. CSF samples were tested for p-tau, t-tau, amyloid beta-42 and amyloid beta-40 via the Lumipulse system. The mean age for participants in the substudy was 70 years (SD=7). 46% of the participants were female, 93% of participants were White, 1% were Black and 1% were Asian, 37% of participants were ApoE  $\epsilon$ 4 noncarriers, 47% were heterozygous and 17% were homozygous. The AUC (95% CI) for the amyloid beta-42/40 ratio was 0.90 (0.83 to 0.97;  $p<.001$ ) with Positive Percent Agreement of 94% (91 to 97) and Negative Percent Agreement of 88% (74 to 96). The AUC of t-tau/amyloid



beta-42 ratio was 0.92 (0.86 to 0.97;  $p < 0.001$ ) with Positive Percent Agreement of 92% (89 to 95) and Negative Percent Agreement of 82% (66 to 92).

### **Clinically Useful**

A test is clinically useful if the use of the results informs management decisions that improve the net health outcome of care. The clinical uses of CSF biomarker reviewed in this section is to select individuals who can begin anti-amyloid targeted medications at an early stage AD.

CSF biomarkers have demonstrated usefulness for identifying patients who will benefit from anti-amyloid therapy. CSF biomarkers have been used as an alternative to amyloid PET for the purposes of establishing eligibility in terms of amyloid beta pathology in trials that have established the efficacy of anti-amyloid therapies. In brief, lecanemab has been evaluated in 2 double-blind RCTs (Study 201 and Study 301/Clarity AD) with samples sizes of 390 and 1795 respectively. The trials included individuals with MCI due to AD or mild AD dementia with confirmed amyloid beta pathology. In Clarity AD, the protocol states that amyloid beta pathology was confirmed by either 1) positive amyloid load confirmed by amyloid PET assessment, or 2) CSF assessment of t-tau /  $A\beta[1-42]$ . Both trials reported an approximately 27% statistically significantly slower rate of decline for the primary cognitive and functional outcome (ADCOMS for Study 201; CDR-SB for Study 301) for lecanemab versus placebo.<sup>52,45</sup> Lecanemab received traditional FDA approval based on results of these RCTs and the label for lecanemab states that the presence of amyloid beta pathology should be confirmed prior to initiating treatment.<sup>53</sup>

### **Treatment Continuation**

There are no data on the serial use of these tests to determine if there are changes in biomarker results that correlate with clinical cognitive and functional status and/or amyloid beta imaging to inform continuation of amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy.

### **Section Summary: Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers and Positron Emission Tomography Amyloid Scans for Mild Cognitive Impairment or Mild Dementia due to Alzheimer Disease**

The evidence supporting a correlation between CSF biomarkers, including amyloid beta-42/40, and PET amyloid scans is limited and includes an evaluation of data from a prospective, longitudinal study and a study of a mixed cohort of cognitively normal and abnormal subjects. Results from the prospective, longitudinal study, which were subsequently replicated in another study utilizing another CSF assay and PET tracer, found that the diagnostic accuracy of CSF and amyloid PET biomarkers to identify MCI-AD was similar. In the evaluation of the mixed cohort, results revealed that the amyloid beta-42/40 ratio corresponded better than amyloid beta-42 alone with PET results. Evidence of the clinical utility of CSF biomarkers alone or in conjunction with amyloid beta PET scans are currently lacking. Further research is required to determine whether use of CSF biomarkers or amyloid PET scans is associated with improved clinical outcomes.

### **SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE**

For individuals who have AD or mild cognitive impairment (MCI) who receive CSF biomarker testing for AD, the evidence includes systematic reviews. These studies assess using CSF biomarkers for diagnosis of AD or for the prognosis of progression of MCI to AD. Relevant outcomes includes diagnosis accuracy correct treatment, avoiding unnecessary subsequent

testing, harms of invasive testing, and quality of life. Most clinical validity studies have been derived from select patient samples and defined optimal test cutoffs without validation; thus, the generalizability of results is uncertain. For predicting conversion from mild cognitive impairment to AD, limited evidence has suggested that testing may define increased risk. Whether earlier diagnosis leads to improved health outcomes through delay of AD onset or improved quality of life is unknown. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcomes.

For individuals who have AD or mild cognitive impairment who receive urinary biomarker testing for AD, the evidence includes a systematic review and prospective and retrospective studies. Relevant outcomes diagnosis accuracy, correct treatment, avoiding unnecessary subsequent testing, harms of invasive testing, and quality of life. Clinical validity studies have included normal healthy controls and defined optimal test cutoffs without validation; thus, clinical validity is uncertain. Whether earlier diagnosis leads to improved health outcomes through delay of AD onset or improved quality of life is unknown. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have MCI or AD who receive blood biomarker testing for AD, the evidence includes a systematic review and cohort studies. Relevant outcomes include test validity, correct treatment, avoiding unnecessary subsequent testing, harms of invasive testing, and QOL. Clinical validity studies have primarily focused on the biomarker, plasma pTau, and have shown that this biomarker may be beneficial in screening for and diagnosing AD. Whether an earlier diagnosis leads to improved health outcomes through a delay of AD onset or improved QOL is unknown. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have MCI or mild dementia due to AD who are being considered for initial treatment with an approved amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy, the evidence includes multisite longitudinal studies and an analysis of a mixed cohort. Two of these studies assess the correlation between CSF biomarkers and PET amyloid scans and another assesses the clinical utility of amyloid PET in cognitively impaired patients who met appropriate use criteria for clinical amyloid PET. Relevant outcomes include test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, health status measures, and QOL. Overall, the diagnostic accuracy of CSF biomarkers versus amyloid PET scans to identify MCI-AD was found to be similar but there are no data to support the clinical utility of CSF biomarker use as a component of determining appropriate initiation of amyloid beta targeting therapy. Prior to the availability of amyloid beta targeting therapy, additional data exist suggesting that amyloid beta PET scan results impacted diagnosis of dementias and patient management including use of symptomatic treatment. Further research is required to determine whether use of CSF biomarkers alone or in conjunction with amyloid PET scans is associated with improved clinical outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have MCI or mild dementia due to AD, who are being treated with an amyloid beta plaque targeting therapy and are being evaluated for therapy continuation, the evidence includes multisite longitudinal studies and an analysis of a mixed cohort. Two of these studies assess the correlation between CSF biomarkers and PET amyloid scans and another assesses the clinical utility of amyloid PET in cognitively impaired patients who met appropriate use criteria for clinical amyloid PET. Relevant outcomes include test validity,

symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, health status measures, and QOL. The diagnostic accuracy of CSF biomarkers versus amyloid beta PET scans to identify MCI-AD was found to be similar. Further research is required to determine whether use of CSF biomarkers alone in conjunction with amyloid beta PET scans are useful for determining whether or not amyloid beta targeting therapy should be continued. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### PRACTICE GUIDELINES AND POSITION STATEMENTS

#### National Institute of Aging

##### 2011 Revised Diagnostic Criteria

As of 2011, probable AD is defined by the National Institute on Aging <sup>20</sup>

“Meets criteria for dementia described... and in addition, has the following characteristics:

- A. Insidious onset. Symptoms have a gradual onset over months to years, not sudden over hours or days;
- B. Clear-cut history of worsening of cognition by report or observation; and
- C. The initial and most prominent cognitive deficits are evident on history and examination in one of the following categories.
  - a. Amnesic presentation: It is the most common syndromic presentation of AD dementia. The deficits should include impairment in learning and recall of recently learned information. There should also be evidence of cognitive dysfunction in at least one other cognitive domain, as defined earlier in the text.
  - b. Non-amnesic presentations: Language presentation: The most prominent deficits are in word-finding, but deficits in other cognitive domains should be present. Visuospatial presentation: The most prominent deficits are in spatial cognition, including object agnosia, impaired face recognition, simultanagnosia, and alexia. Deficits in other cognitive domains should be present. Executive dysfunction: The most prominent deficits are impaired reasoning, judgment, and problem solving. Deficits in other cognitive domains should be present.
- D. The diagnosis of probable AD dementia should not be applied when there is evidence of
  - a. substantial concomitant cerebrovascular disease, defined by a history of a stroke temporally related to the onset or worsening of cognitive impairment; or the presence of multiple or extensive infarcts or severe white matter hyperintensity burden; or
  - b. core features of Dementia with Lewy bodies other than dementia itself; or
  - c. prominent features of behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; or
  - d. prominent features of semantic variant primary progressive aphasia or nonfluent/agrammatic variant primary progressive aphasia; or
  - e. evidence for another concurrent, active neurological disease, or a non-neurological medical comorbidity or use of medication that could have a substantial effect on cognition.”

The diagnosis for possible AD dementia should meet the follow criteria:

- A. Core criteria for the nature of cognitive deficits for AD dementia but is marked by sudden onset of cognitive impairment or insufficient history or documentation describing progressive decline; or

B. All core clinical criteria for AD dementia but presents with concomitant cerebrovascular disease, features of dementia with Lewy bodies, or evidence of another neurological disease or any condition that could affect cognition.

Additionally, a category "Probable AD dementia with evidence of the AD pathophysiological process" has been added. Evidence of the AD pathophysiological process is supported by detection of low cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) amyloid- $\beta$  peptide 1-42 (A $\beta$ 42), positive positron emission tomography amyloid imaging, or elevated CSF tau, and decreased fluorine 18 fluorodeoxyglucose uptake on positron emission tomography in the temporoparietal cortex with accompanying atrophy by magnetic resonance imaging in relevant structures. Detection of the "pathophysiological process" is further divided by when in the disease natural history markers are expected to be detectable. Biomarker evidence in cases of probable AD may increase the certainty that the dementia is due to AD pathophysiological process.

### **Note on the 2011 Revised AD Criteria and Biomarkers**

The biomarkers reviewed in this policy are included in a category among revisions to the 2011 updated AD diagnostic criteria—"probable AD dementia with evidence of the AD pathophysiological process." However, the diagnostic criteria workgroup publication noted the following:

"We do not advocate the use of AD biomarker tests for routine diagnostic purposes at the present time. There are several reasons for this limitation: 1) the core clinical criteria provide very good diagnostic accuracy and utility in most patients; 2) more research needs to be done to ensure that criteria that include the use of biomarkers have been appropriately designed, 3) there is limited standardization of biomarkers from one locale to another, and 4) access to biomarkers is limited to varying degrees in community settings. Presently, the use of biomarkers to enhance certainty of AD pathophysiological process may be useful in three circumstances: investigational studies, clinical trials, and as optional clinical tools for use where available and when deemed appropriate by the clinician."<sup>20</sup>

### **Alzheimer's Association**

In 2009, the Alzheimer's Association (AA) initiated a quality control program for CSF markers, noting that "Measurements of CSF AD biomarkers show large between laboratory variability, likely caused by factors related to analytical procedures and the analytical kits. Standardization of laboratory procedures and efforts by kit vendors to increase kit performance might lower variability, and will likely increase the usefulness of CSF AD biomarkers."<sup>22</sup> In 2012, the Alzheimer's Biomarkers Standardization Initiative published consensus recommendations for standardization of preanalytical aspects (e.g., fasting, tube types, centrifugation, storage time, temperature) of CSF biomarker testing.<sup>54</sup>

In 2013, AA published recommendations for operationalizing the detection of cognitive impairment during the Medicare annual wellness visit in primary care settings.<sup>55</sup> The recommended algorithm for cognitive assessment was based on "current validated tools and commonly used rule-out assessments." Guideline authors noted that use of biomarkers (e.g., CSF tau and  $\beta$ -amyloid proteins) "was not considered as these measures are not currently approved or widely available for clinical use."

The Alzheimer's Association (2018) published appropriate use criteria for lumbar puncture and CSF testing for AD.<sup>56</sup> Table 6 summarizes the indications for these practices. In 2021, the

Alzheimer's Association also published international guidelines for the appropriate handling of CSF for routine clinical measurements of amyloid beta and tau.<sup>57</sup>

**Table 6. Indications for Appropriate Use of Lumbar Puncture and CSF Testing in Diagnosing AD**

<b>Appropriate Indications</b>
Patients with SCD who are considered at increased risk for AD
MCI that is persistent, progressing, and unexplained
Patients with symptoms that suggest possible AD
MCI or dementia with an onset at an early age (<65 y)
Meeting core clinical criteria for probable AD with typical age of onset
Patients whose dominant symptom is a change in behavior and where AD diagnosis is being considered
<b>Inappropriate Indications</b>
Cognitively unimpaired and within normal range functioning for age as established by objective testing; no conditions suggesting high risk and no SCD or expressed concern about developing AD
Cognitively unimpaired patient based on objective testing, but considered by patient, family informant and/or clinician to be at risk for AD based on family history
Patients with SCD who are not considered to be at increased risk for AD
Use to determine disease severity in patients having already received a diagnosis of AD
Individuals who are apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 carriers with no cognitive impairment
Use of lumbar puncture in lieu of genotyping for suspected ADAD mutation carriers
ADAD mutation carriers, with or without symptoms

AD: Alzheimer's disease; ADAD: autosomal-dominant Alzheimer disease; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; MCI: mild cognitive impairment; SCD: subjective cognitive decline.

In 2022, the Alzheimer's Association Global Workgroup released appropriate use recommendations for blood biomarkers in AD.<sup>58</sup> The Workgroup recommended "use of blood-based markers as (pre-)screeners to identify individuals likely to have AD pathological changes for inclusion in trials evaluating disease-modifying therapies, provided the AD status is confirmed with PET or CSF testing." The Workgroup also encouraged "studying longitudinal blood-based marker changes in ongoing as well as future interventional trials" but cautioned that these markers "should not yet be used as primary endpoints in pivotal trials." Further, the Workgroup also recommended cautiously starting to use blood-based biomarkers "in specialized memory clinics as part of the diagnostic work-up of patients with cognitive symptoms" with the results confirmed with CSF or PET whenever possible. Additional data are needed before use of blood-based biomarkers as stand-alone diagnostic AD markers, or before considering use in primary care.

**National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

In 2018, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) released a guideline on assessment, management, and support for people living with dementia and their carers.<sup>59</sup> The guideline states that in cases of uncertain diagnosis, but highly suspicious for AD, providers can consider examining CSF for total tau or total tau and phosphorylated-tau 181 and either Aβ42 or Aβ42 and Aβ40. People who are older are more likely to receive a false positive with a CSF analysis.

## U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

In 2020, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force released recommendations for screening cognitive impairment in older adults, concluding that the current evidence is insufficient to determine benefits versus harms of screening for cognitive impairment in older adults.<sup>60</sup> The statement discusses that screening tests are not intended to diagnose MCI or dementia, but a positive screening test result should prompt additional testing consisting of blood tests, radiology examinations, and/or medical and neuropsychologic evaluation.

## ONGOING AND UNPUBLISHED CLINICAL TRIALS

A search of ClinicalTrials.gov identified some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review that are listed in Table 7.

Table 7. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<b>Ongoing</b>			
NCT05020106	Study on the Diagnostic Cut-off Value for Core Biomarkers in Cerebrospinal Fluid and Blood of Alzheimer's Disease	3200	Sep 2023
NCT02612376	Rocky mountain Alzheimer's disease center longitudinal biomarker and clinical phenotyping study	800	Jan 2024
NCT04575337	Study on Body Fluid, Gene and Neuroimaging Biomarkers for Early Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease	6000	Jun 2025
NCT05531526	A Phase 3 Double-blind, Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Multi-center Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of AR1001 Over 52 Weeks in Participants With Early Alzheimer's Disease (Polaris-AD)	800	Dec 2027

NCT: national clinical trial.

## Government Regulations

### National/Local:

There is no national or local coverage determination published on this topic.

The current Physician Fee Schedule does not price the following codes: 81099, 84999, 83520, and 86849.

*(The above Medicare information is current as of the review date for this policy. However, the coverage issues and policies maintained by the Centers for Medicare & Medicare Services [CMS, formerly HCFA] are updated and/or revised periodically. Therefore, the most current CMS information may not be contained in this document. For the most current information, the reader should contact an official Medicare source.)*

## Related Policies

Genetic Testing for Familial Alzheimer's Disease

## References

1. 2021 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* Mar 2021; 17(3): 327-406. PMID 33756057
2. Petersen RC, Lopez O, Armstrong MJ, et al. Practice guideline update summary: Mild cognitive impairment: Report of the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology.* Jan 16 2018; 90(3): 126-135. PMID 29282327
3. National Institutes on Aging. Data shows racial disparities in Alzheimer's disease diagnosis between Black and white research study participants. December 16, 2021. <https://www.nia.nih.gov/news/data-shows-racial-disparities-alzheimers-disease-diagnosis-between-black-and-white-research>. Accessed August 23, 2023.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Barriers to equity in Alzheimer's and dementia care. June 2, 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/aging/publications/features/barriers-to-equity-in-alzheimers-dementia-care/index.html>. Accessed August 23, 2023.
5. Alzheimer's Association. 2022 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. <https://www.alz.org/media/documents/alzheimers-facts-and-figures.pdf>. Accessed August 23, 2023.
6. Roberts RO, Aakre JA, Kremers WK, et al. Prevalence and Outcomes of Amyloid Positivity Among Persons Without Dementia in a Longitudinal, Population-Based Setting. *JAMA Neurol.* Aug 01 2018; 75(8): 970-979. PMID 29710225
7. Vermunt L, Sikkes SAM, van den Hout A, et al. Duration of preclinical, prodromal, and dementia stages of Alzheimer's disease in relation to age, sex, and APOE genotype. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jul 2019; 15(7): 888-898. PMID 31164314
8. Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* Apr 2018; 14(4): 535-562. PMID 29653606
9. Blennow K, Zetterberg H. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: current status and prospects for the future. *J Intern Med.* Dec 2018; 284(6): 643-663. PMID 30051512
10. Galasko D, Clark C, Chang L, et al. Assessment of CSF levels of tau protein in mildly demented patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology.* Mar 1997; 48(3): 632-5. PMID 9065538
11. Motter R, Vigo-Pelfrey C, Kholodenko D, et al. Reduction of beta-amyloid peptide42 in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol.* Oct 1995; 38(4): 643-8. PMID 7574461
12. Zhang J, Peng M, Jia J. Plasma amyloid- oligomers and soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors as potential biomarkers of AD. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* May 2014; 11(4): 325-31. PMID 24635842
13. Maddalena A, Papassotiropoulos A, Muller-Tillmanns B, et al. Biochemical diagnosis of Alzheimer disease by measuring the cerebrospinal fluid ratio of phosphorylated tau protein to beta-amyloid peptide42. *Arch Neurol.* Sep 2003; 60(9): 1202-6. PMID 12975284
14. Dumurgier J, Vercrusse O, Paquet C, et al. Intersite variability of CSF Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in clinical setting. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jul 2013; 9(4): 406-13. PMID 23141384
15. Mattsson N, Andreasson U, Persson S, et al. The Alzheimer's Association external quality control program for cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jul 2011; 7(4): 386-395.e6. PMID 21784349
16. Teunissen CE, Verberk IMW, Thijssen EH, et al. Blood-based biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: towards clinical implementation. *Lancet Neurol.* Jan 2022; 21(1): 66-77. PMID 34838239

17. Ashton NJ, Leuzy A, Karikari TK, et al. The validation status of blood biomarkers of amyloid and phospho-tau assessed with the 5-phase development framework for AD biomarkers. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. Jul 2021; 48(7): 2140-2156. PMID 33677733
18. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)*, American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, VA 2013.
19. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, et al. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*. Jul 1984; 34(7): 939-44. PMID 6610841
20. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. May 2011; 7(3): 263-9. PMID 21514250
21. Rosa MI, Perucchi J, Medeiros LR, et al. Accuracy of cerebrospinal fluid A(1-42) for Alzheimer's disease diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2014; 40(2): 443-54. PMID 24448789
22. Bloudek LM, Spackman DE, Blankenburg M, et al. Review and meta-analysis of biomarkers and diagnostic imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2011; 26(4): 627-45. PMID 21694448
23. Formichi P, Battisti C, Radi E, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid tau, A beta, and phosphorylated tau protein for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *J Cell Physiol*. Jul 2006; 208(1): 39-46. PMID 16447254
24. Ferreira D, Perestelo-Perez L, Westman E, et al. Meta-Review of CSF Core Biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease: The State-of-the-Art after the New Revised Diagnostic Criteria. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2014; 6: 47. PMID 24715863
25. Fink HA, Linskens EJ, Silverman PC, et al. Accuracy of Biomarker Testing for Neuropathologically Defined Alzheimer Disease in Older Adults With Dementia. *Ann Intern Med*. May 19 2020; 172(10): 669-677. PMID 32340038
26. Cure S, Abrams K, Belger M, et al. Systematic literature review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy in Alzheimer's disease and other dementia using autopsy as standard of truth. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2014; 42(1): 169-82. PMID 24840572
27. Olsson B, Lautner R, Andreasson U, et al. CSF and blood biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol*. Jun 2016; 15(7): 673-684. PMID 27068280
28. Ritchie C, Smailagic N, Noel-Storr AH, et al. CSF tau and the CSF tau/ABeta ratio for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Mar 22 2017; 3: CD010803. PMID 28328043
29. Ritchie C, Smailagic N, Noel-Storr AH, et al. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jun 10 2014; (6): CD008782. PMID 24913723
30. Raina P, Santaguida P, Ismaila A, et al. Effectiveness of cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine for treating dementia: evidence review for a clinical practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med*. Mar 04 2008; 148(5): 379-97. PMID 18316756
31. Kaduszkiewicz H, Zimmermann T, Beck-Bornholdt HP, et al. Cholinesterase inhibitors for patients with Alzheimer's disease: systematic review of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. Aug 06 2005; 331(7512): 321-7. PMID 16081444



32. McShane R, Areosa Sastre A, Minakaran N. Memantine for dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Apr 19 2006; (2): CD003154. PMID 16625572
33. Schneider LS, Mangialasche F, Andreasen N, et al. Clinical trials and late-stage drug development for Alzheimer's disease: an appraisal from 1984 to 2014. *J Intern Med.* Mar 2014; 275(3): 251-83. PMID 24605808
34. Feldman HH, Ferris S, Winblad B, et al. Effect of rivastigmine on delay to diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease from mild cognitive impairment: the InDDEx study. *Lancet Neurol.* Jun 2007; 6(6): 501-12. PMID 17509485
35. Winblad B, Gauthier S, Scinto L, et al. Safety and efficacy of galantamine in subjects with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology.* May 27 2008; 70(22): 2024-35. PMID 18322263
36. Petersen RC, Thomas RG, Grundman M, et al. Vitamin E and donepezil for the treatment of mild cognitive impairment. *N Engl J Med.* Jun 09 2005; 352(23): 2379-88. PMID 15829527
37. Zhang J, Zhang CH, Li RJ, et al. Accuracy of urinary AD7c-NTP for diagnosing Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2014; 40(1): 153-9. PMID 24346218
38. Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Wolf A, et al. Diagnostic value of plasma phosphorylated tau181 in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Nat Med.* Mar 2020; 26(3): 387-397. PMID 32123386
39. Janelidze S, Mattsson N, Palmqvist S, et al. Plasma P-tau181 in Alzheimer's disease: relationship to other biomarkers, differential diagnosis, neuropathology and longitudinal progression to Alzheimer's dementia. *Nat Med.* Mar 2020; 26(3): 379-386. PMID 32123385
40. Palmqvist S, Janelidze S, Quiroz YT, et al. Discriminative Accuracy of Plasma Phospho-tau217 for Alzheimer Disease vs Other Neurodegenerative Disorders. *JAMA.* Aug 25 2020; 324(8): 772-781. PMID 32722745
41. Jack CR, Albert MS, Knopman DS, et al. Introduction to the recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* May 2011; 7(3): 257-62. PMID 21514247
42. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* May 2011; 7(3): 270-9. PMID 21514249
43. Budd Haeberlein S, Aisen PS, Barkhof F, et al. Two Randomized Phase 3 Studies of Aducanumab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis.* 2022; 9(2): 197-210. PMID 35542991
44. Salloway S, Chalkias S, Barkhof F, et al. Amyloid-Related Imaging Abnormalities in 2 Phase 3 Studies Evaluating Aducanumab in Patients With Early Alzheimer Disease. *JAMA Neurol.* Jan 01 2022; 79(1): 13-21. PMID 34807243
45. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, et al. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *N Engl J Med.* Jan 05 2023; 388(1): 9-21. PMID 36449413
46. Janelidze S, Pannee J, Mikulskis A, et al. Concordance Between Different Amyloid Immunoassays and Visual Amyloid Positron Emission Tomographic Assessment. *JAMA Neurol.* Dec 01 2017; 74(12): 1492-1501. PMID 29114726
47. Hansson O, Lehmann S, Otto M, et al. Advantages and disadvantages of the use of the CSF Amyloid (A) 42/40 ratio in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* Apr 22 2019; 11(1): 34. PMID 31010420

48. Chetelat G, Arbizu J, Barthel H, et al. Amyloid-PET and 18 F-FDG-PET in the diagnostic investigation of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. *Lancet Neurol.* Nov 2020; 19(11): 951-962. PMID 33098804
49. Palmqvist S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, et al. Detailed comparison of amyloid PET and CSF biomarkers for identifying early Alzheimer disease. *Neurology.* Oct 06 2015; 85(14): 1240-9. PMID 26354982
50. Lewczuk P, Matzen A, Blennow K, et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid A42/40 Corresponds Better than A42 to Amyloid PET in Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017; 55(2): 813-822. PMID 27792012
51. Nisenbaum L, Martone R, Chen T, et al. CSF biomarker concordance with amyloid PET in Phase 3 studies of aducanumab. *Alzheimers Dement.* Aug 2023; 19(8): 3379-3388. PMID 36795603
52. Summary Review for Leqembi (lecanemab) Application Number: 761269Orig1s000. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Available at [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/summary\\_review/2023/761269Orig1s000SumR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/summary_review/2023/761269Orig1s000SumR.pdf). Accessed on August 23, 2023.
53. Prescribing Label for LEQEMBI (lecanemab-irmb) injection, for intravenous use. Available at <https://www.leqembi.com/-/media/Files/Leqembi/Prescribing-Information.pdf?hash=3d7bf1a2-5db2-4990-8388-81086f415676>. Accessed on August 23, 2023.
54. Vanderstichele H, Bibl M, Engelborghs S, et al. Standardization of preanalytical aspects of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing for Alzheimer's disease diagnosis: a consensus paper from the Alzheimer's Biomarkers Standardization Initiative. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jan 2012; 8(1): 65-73. PMID 22047631
55. Cordell CB, Borson S, Boustani M, et al. Alzheimer's Association recommendations for operationalizing the detection of cognitive impairment during the Medicare Annual Wellness Visit in a primary care setting. *Alzheimers Dement.* Mar 2013; 9(2): 141-50. PMID 23265826
56. Shaw LM, Arias J, Blennow K, et al. Appropriate use criteria for lumbar puncture and cerebrospinal fluid testing in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* Nov 2018; 14(11): 1505-1521. PMID 30316776
57. Hansson O, Batrla R, Brix B, et al. The Alzheimer's Association international guidelines for handling of cerebrospinal fluid for routine clinical measurements of amyloid and tau. *Alzheimers Dement.* Sep 2021; 17(9): 1575-1582. PMID 33788410
58. Hansson O, Edelmayer RM, Boxer AL, et al. The Alzheimer's Association appropriate use recommendations for blood biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* Jul 31 2022. PMID 35908251
59. Dementia: assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Published June 20, 2018. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng97>. Accessed January 2024.
60. Cognitive impairment in older adults: screening. U.S. Preventative Task Force. Published February 25, 2020. <https://uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/cognitive-impairment-in-older-adults-screening>. Accessed January 2024.

*The articles reviewed in this research include those obtained in an Internet based literature search for relevant medical references through January 2024, the date the research was completed.*

### Joint BCBSM/BCN Medical Policy History

Policy Effective Date	BCBSM Signature Date	BCN Signature Date	Comments
11/1/13	8/22/13	8/27/13	<p>Topic split out from former combined policy, “Genetic Testing and Biochemical Markers for Alzheimer’s Disease” and renamed “Biochemical Markers of Alzheimer’s Disease.”</p> <p>Deleted procedure code 83912; added NOC code 84999, Unlisted chemistry procedure [when specified as tau protein, amyloid beta peptide or neural thread protein biochemical testing]</p>
5/1/15	2/17/15	2/27/15	Routine maintenance. Updated background, rationale and references.
5/1/16	2/16/16	2/16/16	Routine maintenance
5/1/17	2/21/17	2/21/17	Added codes 81099 and 86849 as unlisted codes suggested for use on AlzheimerAlert™ website. Title changed to Cerebrospinal Fluid and Urinary Biomarkers of Alzheimer Disease. Reference sections updated (12-15, 25, 29 and 37), rationale section reorganized-- individual studies that were included in meta-analyses were removed. No change in policy statement.
5/1/18	2/20/18	2/20/18	Routine policy maintenance, added references # 20, 23 and 27. No change in policy status.
5/1/19	2/19/19		Routine policy maintenance, added references # 26, 28-30 and 34. No change in policy status.
5/1/20	2/18/20		Updated rationale section, added reference #49 and 50. No change in policy status.

5/1/21	2/16/21		Rationale updated, reference # 22, 35 and 36 added. No change in policy status.
5/1/22	2/15/22		Separated MPS E/I status for cognitive impairment, mild dementia due to Alzheimer dx, target therapy in Alzheimer, evaluation of initial tx, continuation of therapy. Extensive editing to rationale and description section.
5/1/23	3/29/23		MPS clarified to include blood biomarkers as they are now FDA approved. References added. Policy remains E/I. Title changed to Evaluation of Biomarkers for Alzheimer disease. (ds)
5/1/24	N/A		Policy was tabled at JUMP. Vendor managed: N/A (ds)
9/1/24	6/11/24		Routine policy maintenance, rationale updated with new references. Policy status unchanged. Statement on lecanemab therapy added to MPS. Code 0459U added as E/I. Vendor managed: N/A (ds)

Next Review Date: 1<sup>st</sup> Qtr. 2025

## Joint BCBSM/BCN Medical Policy History

### Previous Policy: Evaluation of Biomarkers for Alzheimer’s Disease

Policy Effective Date	BCBSM Signature Date	BCN Signature Date	Comments
7/21/03	7/21/03	7/7/03	Joint policy for Biochemical Markers of Alzheimer’s Disease established
2/26/05	2/26/05	1/14/05	Routine maintenance
1/1/07	1/18/07	10/20/06	Genetic Testing for Alzheimer’s Disease and Biochemical Markers for Alzheimer’s Disease combined with change in medical policy statement.
9/1/07	7/3/07	8/29/07	Routine maintenance
1/1/09	10/13/08	12/30/08	Routine maintenance
5/1/12	2/21/12	2/21/12	Pulled out of retirement to clarify coverage guidelines; references updated. Policy enhanced to mirror BCBSA policy. Added codes 83520 and 83912 to policy for coding urinary and CSF biomarker testing.

**BLUE CARE NETWORK BENEFIT COVERAGE**  
**POLICY: EVALUATION OF BIOMARKERS FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE**

**I. Coverage Determination:**

<b>Commercial HMO (includes Self-Funded groups unless otherwise specified)</b>	Not covered
<b>BCNA (Medicare Advantage)</b>	See government section
<b>BCN65 (Medicare Complementary)</b>	Coinsurance covered if primary Medicare covers the service.

**II. Administrative Guidelines:**

N/A